1	BEFORE THE							
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION							
3	IN THE MATTER OF:							
4	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION, ) On Its Own Motion )							
5	) No. 07-0483							
6	Development of net metering ) standards required by ) P.A. 95-0420.							
7	Obigogo Illinoia							
8	Chicago, Illinois January 29, 2008							
9	Met, pursuant to adjournment, at 2:00 p.m.							
10	BEFORE:							
11	Ms. Claudia Sainsot, Administrative Law Judge							
12	APPEARANCES:							
13	MR. MICHAEL J. LANNON and MR. MICHAEL R. BOROVIK							
14	160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800 Chicago, IL 60601							
15	for ICC Staff;							
16	ROWLAND & MOORE, by MR. STEPHEN J. MOORE							
17	200 West Superior Street, Suite 400 Chicago, IL 60610							
18	for Retail Energy Supply Association;							
19	MR. ROBERT KELTER 35 East Wacker Drive, Suite 1300							
20	Chicago, IL 60601 for Environmental Law and Policy Center;							
21								
22								

1	APPEARANCES (cont.):							
2	MS. SUSAN J. HEDMAN and MS. KRISTIN MUNSCH							
3	100 West Randolph Street, 11th Floor Chicago, IL 60601							
4	for the People of the State of Illinois;							
5	MR. MICHAEL S. PABIAN 10 South Dearborn Street, 49th Floor							
6	Chicago, IL 60603 for Commonwealth Edison Company;							
7	MR. MATTHEW R. TOMC							
8	1901 Chouteau Avenue St. Louis, MO 63166							
9	via telephone for Ameren Services Company;							
10	MS. SUZAN M. STEWART and MS. KAREN M. HUIZENGA							
11	401 Douglas Street Sioux City, IA 51102							
12	via telephone for MidAmerican Energy Company;							
13	MS. CYNTHIA A. FONNER 550 West Washington Street, Suite 300							
14	Chicago, IL 60661 via telephone for Constellation Energy							
15	Group, Inc.							
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by Jean M. Plomin, CSR, RPR							
22	License No. 084-003728							

1			<u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>I</u>	<u>E X</u>		
2	T.T. 1	<b>D</b> '	G.	Re-		
3	Witnesses:	Direct	Cross	airect	cross	Examiner
4	None.					
5						
6						
7						
8						
9		<u>E</u>	<u>X</u> <u>H</u> <u>I</u>	<u>B</u> <u>I</u> <u>T</u> <u>S</u>	<u>5</u>	
10	Number	<u>For</u>	Identi	ificatio	on_	<u> In Evidence</u>
11	None.					
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						

- 1 JUDGE SAINSOT: By the authority vested in me
- 2 by the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call
- 3 Docket No. 07-0483. It is the Illinois Commerce
- 4 Commission, On Its Own Motion, and it concerns
- 5 development of net metering standards required by
- 6 Public Act 95-0420.
- 7 Will the parties identify themselves
- 8 for the record, please.
- 9 MR. LANNON: Appearing on behalf of the Staff
- 10 of the Illinois Commerce Commission, Mike Lannon and
- 11 Mike Borovik, 160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800,
- 12 Chicago, Illinois, 60601.
- 13 MR. MOORE: On behalf of the Retail Energy
- 14 Supply Association, Stephen Moore, law firm of
- Rowland & Moore, 200 West Superior Street, Suite 400,
- 16 Chicago, Illinois, 60610.
- 17 MR. KELTER: On behalf of the Environmental Law
- 18 and Policy Center, Robert Kelter, 35 East Wacker,
- 19 Suite 1300, Chicago, 60601.
- 20 MS. HEDMAN: On behalf of the People of the
- 21 State of Illinois, Susan Hedman and Kristin Munsch in
- the Illinois Attorney General's Office, 100 West

- 1 Randolph, 11th Floor, Chicago, 60601.
- 2 MR. PABIAN: On behalf of Commonwealth Edison
- 3 Company, Michael S. Pabian, P-a-b-i-a-n, 10 South
- 4 Dearborn Street, 49th Floor, Chicago, Illinois,
- 5 60603.
- 6 MR. TOMC: On behalf of the Ameren Illinois
- 7 Utilities, Matthew R. Tomc. My address is
- 8 1901 Chouteau Avenue, P.O. Box 66149, St. Louis,
- 9 Missouri, 63166. My phone number is (314) 554-4673;
- 10 fax (314) 554-4014.
- 11 Also, I'd like to note for the record
- 12 that this is on a teleconference, and I am having
- 13 some intermittent communication issues on my end of
- 14 the line. So if I drop off, I may not be on the line
- 15 anymore.
- 16 MS. STEWART: This is Suzan M. Stewart and
- 17 Karen M. Huizenga appearing on behalf of MidAmerican
- 18 Energy Company, P.O. Box 778, 401 Douglas Street,
- 19 Sioux City, Iowa, 51102.
- 20 MS. FONNER: Cynthia Fonner, on behalf of
- 21 Constellation New Energy, Inc. --
- 22 JUDGE SAINSOT: Speak up, Ms. Fonner, please.

- 1 MS. FONNER: 550 West Washington Street,
- 2 Suite 300, Chicago, Illinois, 60661.
- JUDGE SAINSOT: Any further appearances?
- 4 Okay. Let the record reflect that the
- 5 parties have agreed to a status hearing on
- 6 February 19th at 1:30 p.m.
- 7 Also, I believe, Mr. Kelter, you have
- 8 a motion?
- 9 MR. KELTER: I don't actually have a motion,
- 10 your Honor. I just had a suggestion for scheduling,
- 11 that after the proposed order comes out, that it be
- 12 published in the Illinois Register as the draft rule
- 13 and then parties be allowed to comment on it and that
- 14 that would satisfy 220 ILS Section 10-111.
- JUDGE SAINSOT: I think that's really a motion.
- Any objection?
- 17 MR. TOMC: Yes, your Honor. This is Matt Tomc
- on behalf of the Ameren Illinois Utilities, and I
- 19 would object to the request or motion of Mr. Kelter
- 20 to the extent that any of Ameren Illinois Utilities'
- 21 rights to bring exceptions to the proposed order are
- 22 prejudiced. And Ameren Illinois Utilities wishes to

- 1 preserve any rights it may have to offer any
- 2 additional exceptions that it would be entitled to.
- 3 MR. LANNON: And, your Honor, while
- 4 acknowledging the very tight deadlines everybody's
- 5 faced in this proceeding, Mr. Kelter's suggestion
- 6 runs counter to Staff's reading of Section 10-111.
- 7 MR. PABIAN: And, your Honor, ComEd would
- 8 concur in the objection of MidAmerican and Staff as
- 9 well.
- 10 JUDGE SAINSOT: Ameren.
- 11 MR. PABIAN: I'm sorry. Ameren.
- 12 JUDGE SAINSOT: I have to say that my -- and
- we've had discussions about this -- my interpretation
- of the statute is Mr. Kelter's.
- 15 Having said that, I don't want to
- 16 prejudice any party. So if there's -- despite the
- 17 fact that there's a short period of time, I certainly
- don't want to put parties in a position where a rule
- 19 disserves them unnecessarily.
- 20 Having said that, I have to note that
- 21 there still is one more bite of the apple in terms of
- 22 notice and opportunity to be heard and that is after

- 1 the first notice order issues. So, again, if we had
- 2 all the time in the world, I wouldn't care; but we
- 3 don't. And I do construe the statute in the manner
- 4 that Mr. Kelter does, so his motion is granted, but
- 5 your concerns are duly noted.
- 6 Okay. And as soon as I find out about
- 7 when the first notice order will go out, I will let
- 8 everybody know.
- 9 MR. LANNON: Thank you, your Honor.
- 10 MR. PABIAN: Thank you.
- 11 (Whereupon, the above-entitled
- 12 matter was continued to
- 13 February 19, 2008, at
- 14 1:30 p.m.)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22